

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/822,358</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) SHAJII ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Rudy Zervigon</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1792</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/822,358	Applicant(s) SHAJII ET AL.	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1792
Application No. 10/822,358	Applicant(s) SHAJII ET AL.				
Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1792				

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) G. Matthew McCloskey.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 14:00

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
All pending

Claims discussed:
1,2,21,23

Prior art documents discussed:
USPat. 5865205, US 7335396 B2

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. McCloskey agreed to the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rudy Zervigon/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)